



Government of India

R F D

(Results-Framework Document)
for

National Rural Roads Development Agency
(NRRDA), New Delhi
(2013-2014)

Section 1: Vision, Mission, Objectives and Functions

Vision

Rural Connectivity for sustainable and inclusive growth of Rural India.

Mission

Sustainable and inclusive growth of Rural India through a multi pronged strategy for eradication of rural poverty; including provision of all-weather access to the rural areas to bring them in the main stream of social and economic activities, increase the livelihood opportunities and developing infrastructure for growth and improvement of quality of life in rural India.

Objectives

- 1 To support Ministry in providing all weather connectivity to eligible habitations (under PMGSY I) and to consolidate rural road assets (under PMGSY II).
- 2 To support Ministry in upgrading eligible existing through routes and major rural link routes to ensure full farm to market connectivity (under PMGSY I).
- 3 To provide operational and management support to Ministry of Rural Development for PMGSY I & II both on the following: Design and specification and cost norms.; Technical Agencies; District Rural Roads Plans and Core Network.; Scrutiny of Project Proposals.; Quality Monitoring.; Monitoring of progress, including online monitoring; R&D; Capacity Development and training of functionaries involved in implementation of PMGSY.;
- 4 To support Ministry for improving quality of DPRs and also increasingly associating beneficiaries and elected representatives with the implementation of PMGSY (I & II both).
- 5 Efficient and effective ICT enabled Financial Management complying with laid down rules and procedures.

Functions

- 1 To provide inputs to Ministry of Rural Development for framing appropriate Action Plan for effective and optimum result oriented implementation of PMGSY keeping in view the broad policies/guidelines and the budgetary resources made available by the Ministry of Rural Development.
- 2 Scrutiny of the proposals received from States and Union Territories for consideration by the Ministry of Rural Development.
- 3 To deal with matters relating to State Rural Roads Development Agencies (SRRDAs), monitor their progress in respect of the road-works with particular reference to time frame for completion, Technical Specifications, Project Appraisal and Quality Control methods, expenditure incurred by the State / Union Territories, planning for and plantation of other suitable trees on both sides of the rural roads. To hold meetings of the Performance Review Committee/ Regional Review Committee for periodic review of progress of PMGSY and send periodic reports to the Ministry on the progress of implementation of road works by the States or Union Territories.

Section 1: Vision, Mission, Objectives and Functions

- 4 To interact with State Governments, other Ministries/ Departments, national and international Bodies (ADB, WB, PIARC etc.) With a view to take concerted action for effective and meaningful implementation of PMGSY in a convergent manner.
- 5 To seek sufficient budgetary support for PMGSY from Ministry.
- 6 To undertake study, research activities, Pilot Projects, etc. and evaluate different technologies in respect of Rural Roads, and advice on appropriate design and specifications of rural roads including bridges and culverts, measures to improve the Quality and Cost-norms of the Rural Roads.
- 7 To appoint reputed Technical Institutions as Principal Technical Agencies and State Technical Agencies to perform the tasks to be entrusted to them and Independent Monitors from among serving or retired Engineers, Academicians, Administrators and other Agencies, with experience in Rural Roads and to review their performance.
- 8 To oversee and inspect through Independent Monitors, the execution of the road-works cleared by the Ministry and being implemented by States or Union Territories through their Executing Agencies.
- 9 To arrange suitable Training Programmes for functionaries involved in the implementation of the Rural Roads Programme; organise or sponsor to Workshops and Seminars in respect of Rural Roads and publish books, literature, print, audio-visual, publicity material in respect of the PMGSY.
- 10 To provide guidance to States in the matter relating to accounts and financial management of PMGSY, to monitor and follow up for compilation and audit of Accounts by the SRRDAs and review their Action Taken Reports on Audit observations.
- 11 Timely re-payment of principal amount of loan and payment of Interest to NABARD.
- 12 To maintain and upgrade OMMAS through an engaged agency (C-DAC) and monitor the progress made by SRRDAs in respect of updation of OMMAS including On-line generation of Accounts and submission of proposals for release of funds to Ministry of Rural Development.

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
						100%	90%	80%	70%	60%
[1] To support Ministry in providing all weather connectivity to eligible habitations (under PMGSY I) and to consolidate rural road assets (under PMGSY II).	6.00	[1.1] Conversion of Core Network on GSI platform.	[1.1.1] Number of States.	No.	3.00	5	3	2	1	--
		[1.2] Appointment of Consultant for Safety Audit	[1.2.1] Number of States where Consultant appointed.	No.	3.00	8	6	4	3	2
[2] To support Ministry in upgrading eligible existing through routes and major rural link routes to ensure full farm to market connectivity (under PMGSY I).	17.00	[2.1] Maintenance Funds credited [3.2 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.1.1] % of amount contracted for the financial year.	Percentage	7.00	70	63	56	48	40
		[2.2] Maintenance Funds expenditure [3.6 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.2.1] % of amount spent out of amount credited till Sep. 13.	Percentage	7.00	50	45	40	35	30
		[2.3] Updation of PCI data on OMMAS [3.5 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.3.1] Number of States with required data entry on OMMAS.	No.	3.00	15	14	12	11	9
[3] To provide operational and management support to Ministry of Rural Development for PMGSY I & II both on the following: Design and specification and cost norms.; Technical Agencies; District Rural Roads Plans and Core Network.; Scrutiny of Project Proposals.; Quality Monitoring.; Monitoring of progress, including online monitoring; R&D; Capacity Development and training of functionaries involved	48.00	[3.1] Supplementation of Third Tier of Quality.	[3.1.1] Number of inspection carried out.	No.	15.00	4300	3870	3440	3010	2580

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
						100%	90%	80%	70%	60%
in implementation of PMGSY.;										
	[3.2]	No. of States with 95 % SQMs inspections against due numbers of inspections. [3.9 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[3.2.1] No. of States with required SQMs inspections.	No.	1.00	20	18	16	14	12
	[3.3]	Quality of ongoing works.	[3.3.1] % of satisfactory grading.	Percentage	7.00	75	60	50	40	30
	[3.4]	Quality of completed works	[3.4.1] % of satisfactory grading	Percentage	7.00	85	70	60	50	40
	[3.5]	Development of mobile application based quality inspection reporting.	[3.5.1] 30 % of SQMs in number of States.	No.	6.00	14	13	11	10	8
	[3.6]	New technology.	[3.6.1] Total number of roads in 5 States.	No.	4.00	25	20	15	10	5
	[3.7]	Training programme for SRRDAs, PIUs, other field functionaries and contractors. [3.1 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[3.7.1] No. of personnel trained.	No.	2.00	1200	1080	960	840	720
	[3.8]	To hold meetings of General Body and Executive Committee.	[3.8.1] Number of meetings prescribed in NRRDA Rules. (No. of meetings in GB+EC)	No.	3.00	6	5	4	3	2
	[3.9]	Re-payment of loan and payment of interest to NABARD.	[3.9.1] Payment as per schedule prescribed in the MoU with	No. of Days	3.00	--	2	3	5	6

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
						100%	90%	80%	70%	60%
			NABARD. (payment delay)							
[4] To support Ministry for improving quality of DPRs and also increasingly associating beneficiaries and elected representatives with the implementation of PMGSY (I & II both).	2.00	[4.1] Empanelment of DPR Consultants.	[4.1.1] Number of States where DPR Consultants Empanelled.	No.	2.00	8	6	4	3	2
[5] Efficient and effective ICT enabled Financial Management complying with laid down rules and procedures.	16.00	[5.1] On-line Management, Monitoring and Accounting System (OMMAS)	[5.1.1] Data entry of proposal. (States with 100% of matching of data entered in respect of length, cost & No. of habitations with Clearance Letter.)	No. of States	4.00	15	14	12	11	9
			[5.1.2] Data entry of Quality & Monitoring. (States with 100% of requisite No. of photographs uploaded in SQM inspections)	No. of States	3.00	18	16	14	13	11
			[5.1.3] Accounting (R & P Module) (States with 100% generation of accounts for Programme Fund of 2012-13 of OMMAS)	No. of States	4.00	15	14	12	11	9
		[5.2] Upgradation of On-line Management,	[5.2.1] Change of platform from	Date	3.00	30/06/2013	05/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/07/2013	15/08/2013

Section 2: Inter se Priorities among Key Objectives, Success indicators and Targets

Objective	Weight	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Weight	Target / Criteria Value				
						Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
						100%	90%	80%	70%	60%
		Monitoring and Accounting System (OMMAS).	ASP to ASP.NET							
			[5.2.2] Procurement of hardware	Date	2.00	05/06/2013	10/06/2013	15/06/2013	20/06/2013	25/06/2013
* Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	3.00	Timely submission of Draft RFD (2013-14) for approval	On-time submission	Date	2.0	15/05/2013	16/05/2013	17/05/2013	20/05/2013	21/05/2013
		Timely submission of Results for RFD (2012-13)	On-time submission	Date	1.0	01/05/2013	02/05/2013	05/05/2013	06/05/2013	07/05/2013
* Administrative Reforms	4.00	Implement ISO 9001 as per the approved action plan.	% Implementation	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
		Prepare an action plan for Innovation	On time submission	Date	2.0	30/07/2013	10/08/2013	20/08/2013	30/08/2013	10/09/2013
* Improving Internal Improving Internal Efficiency /responsiveness / service delivery of Ministry / Department	4.00	Implementation of Sevottam	Independent Audit of Implementation of Citizen's Charter	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80
			Independent Audit of implementation of public grievance redressal system	%	2.0	100	95	90	85	80

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value for FY 11/12	Actual Value for FY 12/13	Target Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15	Projected Value for FY 15/16
[1] To support Ministry in providing all weather connectivity to eligible habitations (under PMGSY I) and to consolidate rural road assets (under PMGSY II).	[1.1] Conversion of Core Network on GSI platform.	[1.1.1] Number of States.	No.	--	--	5	6	7
	[1.2] Appointment of Consultant for Safety Audit	[1.2.1] Number of States where Consultant appointed.	No.	--	--	8	8	8
[2] To support Ministry in upgrading eligible existing through routes and major rural link routes to ensure full farm to market connectivity (under PMGSY I).	[2.1] Maintenance Funds credited [3.2 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.1.1] % of amount contracted for the financial year.	Percentage	--	--	70	70	70
	[2.2] Maintenance Funds expenditure [3.6 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.2.1] % of amount spent out of amount credited till Sep. 13.	Percentage	--	--	50	50	50
	[2.3] Updation of PCI data on OMMAS [3.5 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[2.3.1] Number of States with required data entry on OMMAS.	No.	--	--	15	17	18
[3] To provide operational and management support to Ministry of Rural Development for PMGSY I & II both on the following: Design and specification and cost norms.; Technical Agencies; District Rural Roads Plans and Core Network.; Scrutiny of Project Proposals.;	[3.1] Supplementation of Third Tier of Quality.	[3.1.1] Number of inspection carried out.	No.	4300	4300	4300	4300	4300

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value for FY 11/12	Actual Value for FY 12/13	Target Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15	Projected Value for FY 15/16
Quality Monitoring.; Monitoring of progress, including online monitoring; R&D; Capacity Development and training of functionaries involved in implementation of PMGSY.;								
	[3.2] No. of States with 95 % SQMs inspections against due numbers of inspections. [3.9 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[3.2.1] No. of States with required SQMs inspections.	No.	--	--	20	20	20
	[3.3] Quality of ongoing works.	[3.3.1] % of satisfactory grading.	Percentage	--	--	75	80	80
	[3.4] Quality of completed works	[3.4.1] % of satisfactory grading	Percentage	--	--	85	90	90
	[3.5] Development of mobile application based quality inspection reporting.	[3.5.1] 30 % of SQMs in number of States.	No.	--	--	14	15	18
	[3.6] New technology.	[3.6.1] Total number of roads in 5 States.	No.	--	--	25	35	40
	[3.7] Training programme for SRRDAs, PIUs, other field functionaries and contractors. [3.1 of Section 2 of RFD of MRD]	[3.7.1] No. of personnel trained.	No.	--	--	1200	1300	1400
	[3.8] To hold meetings of General Body and	[3.8.1] Number of meetings prescribed	No.	--	--	6	6	6

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value for FY 11/12	Actual Value for FY 12/13	Target Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15	Projected Value for FY 15/16
	Executive Committee.	in NRRDA Rules. (No. of meetings in GB+EC)						
	[3.9] Re-payment of loan and payment of interest to NABARD.	[3.9.1] Payment as per schedule prescribed in the MoU with NABARD. (payment delay)	No. of Days	--	--	2	--	--
[4] To support Ministry for improving quality of DPRs and also increasingly associating beneficiaries and elected representatives with the implementation of PMGSY (I & II both).	[4.1] Empanelment of DPR Consultants.	[4.1.1] Number of States where DPR Consultants Empanelled.	No.	--	--	8	15	20
[5] Efficient and effective ICT enabled Financial Management complying with laid down rules and procedures.	[5.1] On-line Management, Monitoring and Accounting System (OMMAS)	[5.1.1] Data entry of proposal. (States with 100% of matching of data entered in respect of length, cost & No. of habitations with Clearance Letter.)	No. of States	--	--	15	17	17
		[5.1.2] Data entry of Quality & Monitoring. (States with 100% of requisite No. of photographs uploaded in SQM inspections)	No. of States	--	--	18	20	20
		[5.1.3] Accounting (R & P Module) (States with 100% generation of	No. of States	--	--	15	18	18

Section 3: Trend Values of the Success Indicators

Objective	Action	Success Indicator	Unit	Actual Value for FY 11/12	Actual Value for FY 12/13	Target Value for FY 13/14	Projected Value for FY 14/15	Projected Value for FY 15/16
		accounts for Programme Fund of 2012-13 of OMMAS)						
	[5.2] Upgradation of On-line Management, Monitoring and Accounting System (OMMAS).	[5.2.1] Change of platform from ASP to ASP.NET	Date	--	--	30/06/2013	--	--
		[5.2.2] Procurement of hardware	Date	--	--	05/06/2013	--	--
* Efficient Functioning of the RFD System	Timely submission of Draft RFD (2013-14) for approval	On-time submission	Date	--	--	16/05/2013	--	--
	Timely submission of Results for RFD (2012-13)	On-time submission	Date	--	--	02/05/2013	--	--
* Administrative Reforms	Implement ISO 9001 as per the approved action plan.	% Implementation	%	--	--	95	--	--
	Prepare an action plan for Innovation	On time submission	Date	--	--	10/08/2013	--	--
* Improving Internal Efficiency /responsiveness / service delivery of Ministry / Department	Implementation of Sevottam	Independent Audit of Implementation of Citizen's Charter	%	--	--	95	--	--
		Independent Audit of implementation of public grievance redressal system	%	--	--	95	--	--

* Mandatory Objective(s)

Section 4: Acronym

Sl.No	Acronym	Description
1	EC	Executive Committee
2	GB	General Body
3	OMMAS	Online Management, Monitoring and Accounting System

Section 4: Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

SI.No	Success indicator	Description	Definition	Measurement	General Comments
1	[1.2.1] Number of States where Consultant appointed.	<p style="text-align: center;">Audit Stages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Planning phases (ii) Preliminary Design phases (iii) Detailed Design phases (iv) Construction Phases (v) Monitoring Existing Roads 	<p>Using appropriate checklists (depending upon the stage), the auditor first reviews the plans and documents. The auditor then visits the site and carries out an audit, identifies any road safety concerns (referenced by chainage), and suggests ways of minimizing them. The audit findings are recorded in a formal report and given to the designer and to the client. Although the report should give a clear indication of what needs to be done and possible alternatives, it is not necessary for the auditor to provide detailed designs—that is the designer's job. However, appropriate diagrams, sketches, and annotated copies of plans can be included in the report. Guidance on the report structure and content.</p>	<p>Road Safety Risk Exposure to Safety Issue: Estimated as potential for traffic conflicts (e.g. braking, swerving, etc) caused by the issue Scale: 1 - very low, 2- low, 3 – medium, 4 – high, 5 – very high</p> <p>Probability to Cause Accident: Estimated as probability of traffic conflict resulting in an accident Scale: 1 - very low, 2- low, 3 – medium, 4 – high, 5 – very high</p> <p>Consequence of Accident: Estimated ikelihood of personal injury or death caused by accident. Involvement of pedestrians/bicyclists versus ehicle would have severe consequence. If trucks are involved, the consequence would be even more server. High speed of potential impact would have severe consequence. Scale: 1 - very low, 2- low, 3 – medium, 4 – high, 5 – very high</p> <p>Resulting Road Safety Risk: Combined rating equals sum of exposure rating plus probability rating</p>	

Section 4: Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

SI.No	Success indicator	Description	Definition	Measurement	General Comments
				plus consequence rating divided by 3. Higher the combined rating, greater the urgency of attending to the road safety issue. Scale: 1 - very low, 2- low, 3 – medium, 4 – high, 5 – very high	
2	[2.3.1] Number of States with required data entry on OMMAS.	On-line Management, Monitoring and Accounting System is a web enabled application software to monitor the entire programme and to ensure greater efficiency, accountability and transparency in the implementation of PMGSY work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data entry of proposals by SRRDAs in respect of length, cost and number of habitations covered under PMGSY. • Data entry/ uploading of quality and monitoring reports/ photographs by SQMs. • Generation of on-line accounts by PIUs/ SRRDAs. 	% of matching of data/ report/ accounts entered on-line with physical/ manual work/ records.	
3	[3.1.1] Number of inspection carried out.	A 3-tier quality control arrangement is provided to ensure quality aspects of construction and maintenance of rural roads under PMGSY. The first two tiers are overseen by the State Governments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First tier is in-house quality control at the level of executing agencies. • Second tier is quality monitoring by the State Governments through independent State Quality Monitors (SQMs). • Third tier is quality monitoring through random inspection by independent National Quality Monitors (NQMs). 	No. of inspections carried out by SQMs and NQMs and % of roads not rated unsatisfactory.	

Section 4: Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

SI.No	Success indicator	Description	Definition	Measurement	General Comments
4	[3.3.1] % of satisfactory grading.	The strategy consists of framing a Citizen's Charter specifying organization's commitment towards delivery of effective and efficient services to citizens and establishment of an on-line web-enabled Centralized Public Grievance Redress And Monitoring System (CPGRAMS).	<p>'Citizen's Charter' is a document which represents a systematic effort to focus on the commitment of the organization towards its citizens in respect of standard of services, information, choice and consultation, non-discrimination and accessibility, grievance redress, courtesy and value for money. This also includes expectations of the organization from the citizen for fulfilling the commitment of the organization.</p> <p>Centralized Public Grievance Redress And Monitoring System (CPGRAMS) is a web enabled on-line grievance redress and monitoring system available to citizens to lodge grievances, find the status, send reminders etc. irrespective of their geographical locations.</p>	% of implementation of Citizen's Charter independent audit of implementation of public grievance system by NRRDA and States.	

Section 4:
Description and Definition of Success Indicators and Proposed Measurement Methodology

SI.No	Success indicator	Description	Definition	Measurement	General Comments
-------	-------------------	-------------	------------	-------------	------------------

Section 5 : Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

Location Type	State	Organisation Type	Organisation Name	Relevant Success Indicator	What is your requirement from this organisation	Justification for this requirement	Please quantify your requirement from this Organisation	What happens if your requirement is not met.
Central Government		Other	Other	<p>[3.9.1] Payment as per schedule prescribed in the MoU with NABARD. (payment delay)</p> <p>[3.7.1] No. of personnel trained.</p> <p>[1.2.1] Number of States where Consultant appointed.</p> <p>[1.2.1] Number of States where Consultant appointed.</p> <p>[2.1.1] % of amount contracted for the financial year.</p>	<p>Receipt of grants-in-aid for effective implementation of PMGSY programme.</p> <p>Training of personnel involved in the implementation of PMGSY and to get strategic inputs in framing policy for PMGSY.</p> <p>Getting technical guidance in the implementation of PMGSY through training/ workshops etc.</p> <p>Secretary General of IRC being a member of General Body (apex governing body of NRRDA), plays an important advisory role in implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Mobilization of assistance from external agencies like World Bank and ADB.</p>	<p>PMGSY is centrally sponsored scheme of Govt. of India executed by State Govts.</p> <p>Exposure of best practices followed world over in rural roads sector is important to formulate PMGSY policies in tune with international standards.</p> <p>To meet the cost of implementation of PMGSY.</p>		<p>Non-receipt of grants-in-aid would severely hamper the implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Lack of international exposure would affect the qualitative aspects of PMGSY.</p> <p>Absence of technical guidance would affect the effective implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Advice on technical aspects of PMGSY is essential for effective implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Financial crunch would severely affect the implementation of PMGSY.</p>

Section 5 : Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

Location Type	State	Organisation Type	Organisation Name	Relevant Success Indicator	What is your requirement from this organisation	Justification for this requirement	Please quantify your requirement from this Organisation	What happens if your requirement is not met.
				<p>[2.1.1] % of amount contracted for the financial year.</p> <p>[2.2.1] % of amount spent out of amount credited till Sep. 13.</p> <p>[3.6.1] Total number of roads in 5 States.</p>	<p>Mobilization of assistance from external agencies like World Bank and ADB.</p> <p>Approval of Plan allocations.</p> <p>For consideration of PMGSY project proposals as a member of the Empowered Committee.</p>	<p>To meet the cost of implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Allocation of funds is essential for implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Clearance of project proposals by the Empowered Committee is mandatory for release of funds to States.</p>		<p>Financial crunch would severely affect the implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Funds won't be released to States without clearance of project proposals by the EC.</p>
State Government	All States	Other		<p>[5.1.3] Accounting (R & P Module) (States with 100% generation of accounts for Programme Fund of 2012-13 of OMMAS)</p> <p>[3.9.1] Payment as per schedule prescribed in the MoU with NABARD. (payment delay)</p> <p>[1.1.1] Number of States.</p>	<p>Effective implementation of programmes, timely submission of physical and financial progress reports including Utilization Certificates.</p> <p>Loan assistance for implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>Preparation of District Core Network of rural roads.</p>	<p>Execution of PMGSY is at State level through SRRDAs/ PIUs.</p> <p>Financial assistance is essential for execution of PMGSY.</p> <p>Rural connectivity is to be provided to the Core Network identified at local levels.</p>		<p>Proper utilization of grants-in-aid provided by Central Govt. can't be watched and monitoring of implementation of PMGSY won't be feasible.</p> <p>Financial crunch would severely affect the implementation of PMGSY.</p> <p>In the absence of fulfilment of mandatory requirement of identification of Core</p>

Section 5 : Specific Performance Requirements from other Departments

Location Type	State	Organisation Type	Organisation Name	Relevant Success Indicator	What is your requirement from this organisation	Justification for this requirement	Please quantify your requirement from this Organisation	What happens if your requirement is not met.
				[1.1.1] Number of States.	Preparation of District Core Network of rural roads.	Rural connectivity is to be provided to the Core Network identified at local levels.		Network at local levels, implementation of PMGSY won't be feasible.

Section 6: Outcome/Impact of Department/Ministry

Outcome/Impact of Department/Ministry	Jointly responsible for influencing this outcome / impact with the following department (s) / ministry(ies)	Success Indicator	Unit	FY 11/12	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	FY 14/15	FY 15/16
1 Improved all-weather rural roads connectivity. [Sl. No.1 of Section 6 of RFD of MRD]	State Governments/ Ministry of Finance/ Planning Commission	Habitations connectivity	No.					
		Length of rural roads constructed/ upgraded in Kms.	Length in Kms	29700	24440	27000	32000	
2 Roads with quality rated as satisfactory	Ministry of Rural Development (RC Division)	Percentage of roads completed not rated unsatisfactory.	Percenta	85	85	85	88	89
3 Mainstreaming of R&D initiatives	Ministry of Rural Development (RC Division)	Number of roads.	No.			25	35	40
4 Safety Audit initiatives	Ministry of Rural Development (RC Division)	No. of States where Consultants appointed.	No.			8	10	12